

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,178	10/29/2003	Katerina Leftheris	QA0237 Div 1	6531
23914 7	590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
LOUIS J. WILLE			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
BRISTOL-MY PATENT DEP	ERS SQUIBB COMPAN ARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 4000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1616	·	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Aı	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Officé Action Summary		10	0/696,178	LEFTHERIS ET A	NL.			
		E	caminer	Art Unit				
			ton N. Pryor	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
·			ion is non-final.					
·—	,—							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔯 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or · No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/29/04</u> .		_	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for employing instant compounds for treating conditions associated with p38 kinase activity, does not reasonably provide enablement for using instant compounds for treating all conditions in claims 8-9. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Many of these conditions are unrelated, e.g. asthma, pain, fever, edema, analgesia, viral disease, angiogenic disorder, autoimmune disease, bone disorder, infectious disease, inflammation (arthritis), diabetes, respiratory distress syndrome, pulmonary disease, atherosclerosis, or psoriasis. For this reason, one having ordinary skill in art would be required to do extensive experimentation to determine which condition would be treatable using instant compounds. The predictability in this art is high since the many of the diseases are unrelated and the mechanism of action of treating one condition may be totally different from the mechanism of action of treating an unrelated disease. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know based on the specification which compound would be effective at treating which condition.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1616

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "NH-phenyl moiety" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Note that claim 4 states R4 and R5 taken together with Z to from said phenyl moiety. However, in the moiety Z = N; R5 = H; and R4 = substituted phenyl. Therefore, R4 and R5 cannot be taken together to form the NH-phenyl moiety.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt et al (US 6982265; 1/3/06). Hunt teaches the pyrrolotriazine compound of Example 19 which is the compound in instant method claims where R1 = H; X = CO2; R2, R3 = Me; ZR5 = NH; R4 = substituted phenyl; R13 = alkyl; and R12 – OR14; R14 = H. See Example 19. Hunt teaches that pyrrolotriazine compounds of his invention can be used in methods of treating cancer, psoriasis, arthritis, inflammation, autoimmune disease, and diabetic retinopathy. See column 6 line – column 7 line 10. These are conditions associated with p38 kinase activity as indicated in instant claims 7-11. Hunt does not exemplify methods of treating said conditions with his compound shown in

Art Unit: 1616

Example 19. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the compound of Example 19 in methods of treating said conditions. One would have been motivated to do this since Hunt states that his invention is based on the discovery that certain pyrrolotriazines are inhibitors of protein kinases. See column 6 lines 44-45. With respect to claims 5 and 6, Hunt suggests the method of using compounds where X-R2 = N-R10CO-alkyl; Y = absent; R3 = substituted alkyl; R5,R1 = H; R6 = H, alkyl; Z = N; R4 = sulfonamide substituted aryl. This compound is the instant compound where X-R2 = N-R10CO-alkyl (R2a,R10 = H); R3 = Me or trifluoro methyl; R1,R5 = H; R6 = H, alkyl; Y= SO2NH (sulfonamide). Hunt does not exemplify a method of treating said conditions using this compound. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the compound in methods of treating said conditions. One would have been motivated to do this since Hunt states that his invention is based on the discovery that certain pyrrolotriazines are inhibitors of protein kinases. See column 6 lines 44-45.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616